



Your Missouri Courts


Search for Cases by:
[Judicial Links](#) | [eFiling](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Print](#)
[GrantedPublicAccess](#) [Logoff KERSTENLEIGH123](#)

20CN-CC00043 - MICHAEL MATHEWS V MAINSPRING MANAGEMENT LLC (E-CASE)

Case Header	Parties & Attorneys	Docket Entries	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
-----------------------------	---	--------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

[Click here to eFile on Case](#)

Sort Date Entries: ☒

Descending

Display Options:

[Click here to Respond to Selected Documents](#)
☐ Ascending

08/03/2020 ☐ Corporation Served

Document ID - 20-SMCC-251; Served To - MAINSPRING MANAGEMENT LLC; Server - ; Served Date - 03-AUG-20; Served Time - 00:00:00; Service Type - Special Process Server; Reason Description - Served

☐ [Notice of Service](#)

Summons Return; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

Filed By: CHARLES JASON BROWN

On Behalf Of: MICHAEL MATHEWS

07/16/2020 ☐ [Order - Special Process Server](#)

☐ [Summons Issued-Circuit](#)

Document ID: 20-SMCC-251, for MAINSPRING MANAGEMENT LLC.

☐ Hearing Scheduled

07/08/2020 ☐ [Entry of Appearance Filed](#)

Entry of Appearance.

Filed By: JAYSON WATKINS

On Behalf Of: MICHAEL MATHEWS

☐ Filing Info Sheet eFiling

Filed By: CHARLES JASON BROWN

☐ Proposed Order Filed

Order for Appointment of Private Process Server.

Filed By: CHARLES JASON BROWN

On Behalf Of: MICHAEL MATHEWS

☐ [Motion Special Process Server](#)

Motion for Appointment of Private Process Server.

Filed By: CHARLES JASON BROWN

☐ [Request Filed](#)

First Request for Production of Documents.

Filed By: CHARLES JASON BROWN

☐ [Pet Filed in Circuit Ct](#)

Petition.

☐ Judge Assigned

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY MISSOURI

MICHAEL MATHEWS,
Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC,
10561 Barkley Street, #520
Overland Park, KS 66212

Defendant.

Case No.:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PETITION

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Michael Mathews, by and through his attorneys, and on behalf of himself, the Putative Classes set forth below, and in the public interest, brings the following class action Petition against Defendant, Mainspring Management, LLC, ("Defendant"), pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant for violations of the FCRA.
2. Defendant obtained information concerning the Plaintiff from ACS Data Search.
3. Defendant paid a fee to ACS Data Search for the information it obtained concerning the Plaintiff.
4. The information obtained from ACS Data Search concerning the Plaintiff was a Consumer Report (as a Consumer Report is defined pursuant to the FCRA).
5. Defendant relies on information in Consumer Reports to make decisions regarding prospective or current employees.
6. Defendant relies on information in a Consumer Report, in whole or in part, as a

- basis for adverse employment action; such as a rescission of employment offer, refusal to hire and/or termination.
7. Plaintiff asserts FCRA claims against Defendant on behalf of himself and a class of Defendant's employees and prospective employees whose rights under the FCRA were violated.
 8. On behalf of himself and all class members, Plaintiff seeks statutory damages punitive damages, costs and attorneys fees, and all other relief available pursuant to the FCRA.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Mathews is a resident of Lee's Summit, Missouri. Plaintiff is a member of the Putative Classes defined below.
10. Defendant is a foreign company doing business in Missouri and throughout the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FCRA claim pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and Article V, Section 14(a) of the Constitution of Missouri.
12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to R.S.Mo. 508.010.2(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
14. Plaintiff applied for employment in person with the Defendant in or about January 2019.
15. Defendant procured a consumer report on the Plaintiff from ACS Data Search.
16. Plaintiff started the Defendant's training program approximately ten days after

applying.

17. Plaintiff completed the five days of training the Defendant required.
18. After the five days of training, the Plaintiff worked four days for the Defendant.
19. Plaintiff was called from his desk to a conference room and told he was fired due to information contained within his Consumer Report on his fifth day working for the Defendant.
20. Terminating Plaintiff's employment because of information in his Consumer Report is an adverse action.
21. Defendant did not give the Plaintiff a copy of his Consumer Report prior to terminating Plaintiff's employment.
22. Plaintiff has never been provided a copy of the consumer report.
23. Plaintiff was not provided an opportunity to review the Consumer Report prior to the adverse action.
24. Plaintiff was not provided any opportunity to contest or explain the information contained within the Consumer Report.
25. Defendant is aware of the FCRA.
26. Defendant has knowledge that it must comply with the FCRA.
27. Despite having knowledge of the requirements of the FCRA, Defendant failed to comply with the FCRA.
28. Defendant's failure to provide the Plaintiff with a copy of the Consumer Report, a reasonable notice period in which to address and/or challenge any information in the Consumer Report, or a written description of rights under the FCRA prior to the adverse action, constitutes multiple violations of the FCRA.

29. Defendant's violations of the FCRA combined with its knowledge of the requirements of the FCRA is evidence that the Defendant's violations were willful.
30. The manner in which the Defendant obtained a Consumer Report on the Plaintiff is consistent with its policies and procedures governing the procurement of Consumer Reports on individuals for employment purposes.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
32. Plaintiff asserts the following adverse action class defined as:

Proposed Adverse Action Class: All employees or prospective employees of Defendant that suffered an adverse employment action on or after July 8, 2018, that was based, in whole or in part, on information contained in a Consumer Report, and who were not provided a copy of the Consumer Report by the Defendant in advance of the adverse action.

Numerosity

33. The proposed class is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. Defendant regularly obtains and uses information in Consumer Reports to evaluate individuals for employment purposes. Defendant relies on the information contained in said Consumer Reports, in whole or in part, as a basis for adverse employment action. Given the number of employees working for and/or applying for employment with the Defendant, Plaintiff believes that during the relevant time period, a sufficient number of individuals would fall within the definition of the class.

Common Questions of Law and Fact

34. Virtually all of the issues of law and fact in this class action or, to the class

predominate over any questions affecting individual class members. Among the questions of law and fact common to the class are:

- a. Whether Defendant uses Consumer Report information to evaluate individuals for employment purposes;
- b. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by taking adverse action against Plaintiff and other members of the Adverse Action class on the basis of information in a Consumer Report, without first providing a copy of the Consumer Report to the affected individuals;
- c. Whether the Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide the Plaintiff and other members of the Adverse Action Class with a reasonable amount of time to address any information within the Consumer Report prior to the adverse employment action;
- d. Whether Defendant's violations of the FCRA were willful;
- e. The proper measure of statutory damages and punitive damages.

Typicality

35. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the members of the proposed class. The FCRA violations suffered by Plaintiff are typical of those suffered by other class members. Defendant typically uses Consumer Reports to take adverse actions but fails to provide the consumer a copy of the report before taking an adverse employment action based on information contained in said report. The FCRA violations suffered by Plaintiff are typical of those suffered by other class members and the Defendant treated Plaintiff consistent with other Putative Class members in accordance with its standard policies and practices.

Adequacy of Representation

36. Plaintiff, as representative of the class, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class and has no interest that conflict with or are antagonistic to the interest of the class members. Plaintiff has retained attorneys competent and

experienced in class action litigation. No conflict exists between Plaintiff and members of the class.

Superiority

37. A class action is superior to any other available method for the fair and efficient adjudication this controversy, and common questions of law and fact overwhelmingly predominate over individual questions that may arise.
38. This case is maintainable as a class action under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure because prosecution of actions by or against individual members of the putative class would result in inconsistent or varying adjudications and create the risk of incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. Further, adjudication of each individual class member's claim as a separate action will potentially be dispositive of the interest of other individuals not a party to such action, impeding their ability to protect their interests.
39. This case is maintainable as a class action under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that any final relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole.
40. Class certification is also appropriate under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure because questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the putative class, and because a class action superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. Defendant's conduct described in this Complaint stems from common and uniform policies and practices, resulting in common

violations of the FCRA. Members of the Putative Class do not have an interest in pursuing separate actions against the Defendant, as the amount of each class member's individual claims is small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. Class certification also will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result the inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not present any likely difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiencies, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all putative class members' claims in a single forum.

41. Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the putative class to the extent required by Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure. The names and address of the potential class members are available from Defendant's records.

FCRA VIOLATIONS

42. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
43. Defendant obtained a "Consumer Report," as defined by the FCRA, concerning the Plaintiff.
44. Defendant used a Consumer Report, as defined by the FCRA, to take adverse employment action against Plaintiff, and on information and belief, other members of the adverse action class.
45. Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide Plaintiff, and other adverse action class members, with a copy of the Consumer Report that was used to take adverse employment action against them prior to the adverse action.
46. Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide the Plaintiff and other adverse

action class members with a reasonable time to address any information or inaccuracies within the Consumer Reports prior to the adverse action.

47. Had Defendant complied with the FCRA, Plaintiff would have been given time to review, dispute, contest, and/or address the information contained in the Consumer Report.

48. Defendant did not provide the Plaintiff with a reasonable amount of time to review, dispute, contest, address and/or otherwise challenge any information that may have been present in the Consumer Report before taking an adverse action.

49. Defendant did not provide the Plaintiff with a FCRA Summary of Rights prior to the adverse action.

50. The Defendant's failure to provide the Plaintiff a copy of the Consumer Report or the FCRA Summary of Rights denied the Plaintiff a meaningful opportunity to address the information contained within the Consumer Report.

51. Defendant's failure to abide by the FCRA's notice provisions deprived the Plaintiff of required information and his right to address the information being used to deny his employment.

52. The foregoing violations were willful. Defendant acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and rights of Plaintiff and other adverse action class members under the provisions of the FCRA. Defendant's willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:

- a. Defendant has access to legal advice;
- b. Defendant committed multiple violations of the FCRA by not providing the Plaintiff with a copy of the Consumer Report, and not providing the Plaintiff with a reasonable notice period to address and/or cure any information before taking adverse employment action as mandated by the FCRA;

- c. The Defendant has ignored regulatory guidance from FTC Informal Staff Opinions and the unambiguous language of the FCRA; and
- d. 15 U.S.C. §1681-1681y, requires credit agencies to provide notice to users of Consumer Reports of the users legal obligations under the FCRA prior to the procurement of Consumer Reports.

53. Plaintiff and the proposed class members are entitled to statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1000 for each and every one of these violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(1)(A).

54. Plaintiff and the proposed class members are also entitled to punitive damages for these violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(2).

55. Plaintiff and the proposed class members are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(3).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order for the following:

- a. An Order that this action may proceed as a class action under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure;
- b. Order designating Plaintiff as class representative and designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Putative Class;
- c. Order directing proper notice to be mailed to the Putative Classes at Defendant's expense;
- d. Order finding that Defendant committed multiple, separate violations of the FCRA;
- e. Order finding that Defendant acted willfully in deliberate or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and its obligations of the FCRA;

- f. Order awarding statutory damages and punitive damages as provided the FCRA;
- g. Order awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by the FCRA; and
- h. Order granting other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court may deem appropriate and just.

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect to which Plaintiff and all members of the proposed class have a right to jury trial.

By: /s/ C. Jason Brown
Charles Jason Brown MO 49952
Jayson A. Watkins MO 61434
Brown & Watkins LLC
301 S. US 169 Hwy
Gower Missouri 64454
Tel: 816-505-4529
Fax: 816-424-1337
brown@brownandwatkins.com
watkins@brownandwatkins.com
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY MISSOURI

MICHAEL MATHEWS,
Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC
Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case No.:

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

COMES NOW Jayson Watkins and hereby enters his appearance as counsel of record for Plaintiff and those similarly situated in the above captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jayson A. Watkins
Jayson A. Watkins MO #61434
Brown & Watkins LLC
301 S. US 169 Hwy
Gower Missouri 64454
Tel: 816-424-1390
Fax: 816-424-1337
watkins@brownandwatkins.com
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY MISSOURI

MICHAEL MATHEWS,)	
Individually And On Behalf Of)	
All Others,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	Case No.:
vs.)	
)	
MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC,)	
Defendant.)	

FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff requests that Defendant produce for inspection and copying the documents described below. Production shall be made at the office of Plaintiff's attorneys, C. Jason Brown and Jayson A. Watkins, Brown & Watkins LLC; 301 S. US 169 Hwy; Gower Missouri 64454, within the time prescribed by the applicable rules of civil procedure or at such other time and place as may be mutually agreed.

If there are documents responsive to any of the foregoing Requests which Defendant refuses to produce, please define or designate the documents in your Response with sufficient particularity, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to allow us to make a motion for a court order to require production of these documents.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff will object to the introduction at trial of any document which is not produced in response to the foregoing Requests and to any evidence about any requested document which has not been revealed by an appropriate response to this Request for Production of Documents.

Plaintiff will at the time of trial move the court for an order excluding from evidence all tangible or intangible things known to you at the time of your responses to these Requests and Interrogatories not disclosed in your response thereto.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

Request No. 1: Any and all documents the Defendant used to disclose to the Plaintiff that a consumer report would be procured for employment purposes.

Response:

Request No. 2: Any and all documents in which the Defendant received authorization from the Plaintiff to obtain a consumer report for employment purposes.

Response:

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ C. Jason Brown
C. Jason Brown MO #49952
Jayson A. Watkins MO #61434
Brown & Watkins LLC
301 S. US 169 Hwy
Gower Missouri 64454
Tel: 816-424-1390
Fax: 816-424-1337
watkins@brownandwatkins.com
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY MISSOURI

MICHAEL MATHEWS,)	
Individually And On Behalf Of)	
All Others,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	Case No.:
vs.)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC,)	
Defendant.)	

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER

Comes now Plaintiff, by and through counsel, and pursuant to Local Rule of Clinton County, hereby moves for the appointment of Joseph Baska of HPS Process Service & Investigations, Inc., as private process server in the above captioned-matter. Plaintiff states that Joseph Baska is over the age of 18 and is not a party to this action.

By: /s/ C. Jason Brown
Charles Jason Brown MO 49952
Jayson A. Watkins MO 61434
Brown & Watkins LLC
301 S. US 169 Hwy
Gower Missouri 64454
Tel: 816-424-1390
Fax: 816-424-1337
brown@brownandwatkins.com
watkins@brownandwatkins.com
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY MISSOURI

MICHAEL MATHEWS,
Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC,
Defendant.

FILED
7/16/2020
ELLIS DOCKRAY
CIRCUIT CLERK
EX-OFFICIO RECORDER
CLINTON CO, MO

Case No.:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT OF PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER

It is hereby ordered that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Private Process Server is sustained and Joseph Baska who is over the age of 18 and not a party to this action, of HPS Process Service & Investigations, Inc., is hereby appointed to serve process in the above captioned matter.

DATE: 7/16/2020




Judge or Circuit Clerk

IN THE 43RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: R BRENT ELLIOTT	Case Number: 20CN-CC00043	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> FILED 7/16/2020 ELLIS DOCKRAY CIRCUIT CLERK EX-OFFICIO RECORDER CLINTON CO, MO </div>
Plaintiff/Petitioner: MICHAEL MATHEWS	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address CHARLES JASON BROWN 301 S US HIGHWAY 169 GOWER, MO 64454-9116	
Defendant/Respondent: MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC	Court Address: 207 NORTH MAIN PLATTSBURG, MO 64477	
Nature of Suit: CC Other Miscellaneous Actions		

Summons in Civil Case


The State of Missouri to: MAINSPRING MANAGEMENT LLC
Alias:
10561 BARKLEY STREET, #520
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212

COURT SEAL OF

CLINTON COUNTY

You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

7/16/2020

Date



Clerk
BY: ANGELIA STONE, DEPUTY CLERK

Sheriff's or Server's Return

Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.

I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one)

- ☐ delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.
- ☐ leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with _____, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.
- ☐ (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to: _____ (name) _____ (title).
- ☐ other: _____.

Served at _____ (address)
in _____ (County/City of St. Louis), MO, on _____ (date) at _____ (time).

Printed Name of Sheriff or Server

Signature of Sheriff or Server

Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ (date).

(Seal)

My commission expires:

Date _____

Notary Public

Sheriff's Fees, if applicable

Summons \$_____

Non Est \$

Sheriff's Deputy Salary

Supplemental Surcharge	\$	10.00
------------------------	----	-------

Mileage \$ _____ (_____ miles @ \$._____ per mile)

Total	\$
--------------	-----------

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on **each** defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.



IN THE 43RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI


Judge or Division: R BRENT ELLIOTT	Case Number: 20CN-CC00043
Plaintiff/Petitioner: MICHAEL MATHEWS	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address CHARLES JASON BROWN 301 S US HIGHWAY 169 GOWER, MO 64454-9116
Defendant/Respondent: MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC	Court Address: 207 NORTH MAIN PLATTSBURG, MO 64477
Nature of Suit: CC Other Miscellaneous Actions	

FILED
7/16/2020
ELLIS DOCKRAY
CIRCUIT CLERK
EX-OFFICIO RECORDER
CLINTON CO, MO

(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case

The State of Missouri to: MAINSPRING MANAGEMENT LLC
Alias:
10561 BARKLEY STREET, #520
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212

COURT SEAL OF

CLINTON COUNTY

You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

7/16/2020
Date

Clerk
BY: ANGELIA STONE, DEPUTY CLERK

Further Information:

Sheriff's or Server's Return

Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.

I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one)

- ☐ delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.
- ☒ leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with Mark Koetting, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.
- ☐ (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to: _____ (name) _____ (title).
- ☐ other: _____

Served at 10561 Barkley St #520 O.P. KS 66212 (address)
in Johnson (County/City of St. Louis), MO, on 7/21/2020 (date) at 1030 (time).

Joseph L Baska Printed Name of Sheriff or Server
[Signature] Signature of Sheriff or Server



A. MOON Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:
My Commission Expires February 24, 2021
Subscribed and sworn to before me on 7-21-2020 (date).
Clay County commission expires: 2021 02 21 Date
Commission #1345219 Notary Public amper

Sheriff's Fees, If applicable

Summons \$ _____
Non Est \$ _____
Sheriff's Deputy Salary
Supplemental Surcharge \$ 10.00
Mileage \$ _____ (_____ miles @ \$ _____ per mile)
Total \$ _____

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of Missouri

County of Clinton

Circuit Court

Case Number: 20CN-CC00043

Plaintiff/Petitioner:
MICHAEL MATHEWS

vs.

Defendant/Respondent:
MAINSRING MANAGEMENT LLC

Received by HPS Process Service & Investigations to be served on Mainspring Management, LLC, 10561 Barkley Street, #520, Overland Park, KS 66212.

I, JOSEPH BASKA, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 21st day of July, 2020 at 10:30 am, I:

Served the within named establishment by delivering a true copy of Summons in a Civil Case; Motion and Order for Appointment of Private Process Server; First Request for Production of Documents; and Petition to Mark Koetting, CEO at the address of 10561 Barkley Street, #520, Overland Park, KS 66212.

I am over the age of eighteen, and have no interest in the above action.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 27 day
of July, 2020 by the affiant who is
personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC



A. MOON
My Commission Expires
February 24, 2021
Clay County
Commission #13452192

Copyright © 1992-2020 Database Services, Inc. - Process Server's Toolbox V8.1c

JOSEPH BASKA
Process Server

HPS Process Service & Investigations
www.hpsprocess.com
1669 Jefferson
Kansas City, MO 64108
(800) 796-9559

Our Job Serial Number: HAT-2020013392